



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/604,332 | 07/11/2003 | Bruce Youngs | 2001767 | 1331 |
| 32994 | 7590 | 12/02/2004 | EXAMINER | |
| MILLER LAW GROUP, PLLC AND FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 25 STEVENS AVENUE WEST LAWN, PA 19609 | | | GORDON, STEPHEN T | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3612 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| <i>Office Action Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/604,332 | YOUNGS ET AL. <i>cj</i> |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Stephen Gordon | 3612 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2004.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,4-7,21 and 23-27 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 21 and 23-25 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-7 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 26 and 27 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 26 and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 26, "a engagement" in line 2 should be --an engagement--.

Appropriate correction is required.
2. Claims 1 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1 as newly amended, the order of introduction of the open hook member is confusing. On line 7, applicant first introduces this hook member as "said open hook member". Later on line 12, applicant recites "a retractable open hook member". As best understood, "said open hook member" on line 7 should be written as --a retractable open hook member--. Additionally, "a retractable" on line 12 should be written as --said retractable--to correct this defect. Note also related term on line 10.

Applicant should take care in amending claim 1 and consider the related term "said open hook member" in each of dependent claims 5, 6 (2 places), and claim 7.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3612

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 1, as newly amended and as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hetchler in view of Shambeau et al.

Hetchler teaches a cargo securing system for a vehicle cargo area including a static hook assembly 60 with a closed hook, a retractable movable hook 80, and a line 70 as broadly claimed.

Hetchler fails to teach that the stationary hook defines a pivotable closed hook in a bezel including actuator and engagement portions retracting and extending as recited.

Shambeau et al teaches a recessed pivotally mounted closed hook 48+ which pivots to a non-use out of the way position inside a bezel as broadly claimed.

The device includes an actuator portion (protrusion at 52) and an engagement portion 48 as broadly claimed. Note at least one of the protrusions at 52 retracts as the engagement portion is extended as broadly recited.

In order to provide more cargo space when the anchor assembly is not in use, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the stationary anchor 60 of Hetchler with a retractable anchor including a pivoting closed hook member recessed in a bezel and defining actuator and engagement portions in view of the teachings of Shambeau et al.

Re claim 1 as newly amended, the bezel of Shambeau et al is deemed to define a deep compartment as broadly claimed.

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10-26-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It should be noted, applicant indicates that new claim 1 contains the subject matter of previously allowed claim 4. Applicant has added a portion of the subject matter of original claim 4 to claim 1 but not the complete claim language thereof. Claim 1, as newly amended, is sufficiently broad as to be deemed fairly suggested by the combined teachings of Hetchler and Shambeau et al as noted above.

7. Claims 21 and 23-25 are allowed. Claims 26 and 27 are objected to for the minor informality noted above but are otherwise allowable.

8. Claims 4-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3612

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Stephen Gordon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3612

stg